From: Sutherland, Ryan

Sent: 2017-09-01T11:23:31-04:00

Importance: Normal

Subject: Fwd: Public Lands News Bulletin: Utah monuments in crosshairs; legality?

Received: 2017-09-01T11:23:40-04:00

PLNBulletinSept1.pdf PLNBulletinSept1.docx

Dear Subscriber:

The attached bulletin from *Public Lands News* newsletter reports on the following:

* Bears Ears, Grand Staircase in monuments crosshairs?

NOTE: This bulletin is a supplement to your regular edition of Public Lands News. It is NOT your regular issue. The next issue will be published September 8.

Public Lands News is published by Resources Publishing Co., P.O. Box 41320, Arlington, VA 22204. EIN 52-1363538. Phone (703) 553-0552. FAX (703) 553-0558. E-mail james@resourcespublishing.com. Website: http://www.plnfpr.com.

PUBLIC LANDS NEWS BULLETIN #15: September 1, 2017

Dear Subscriber:

This bulletin from Public Lands News newsletter reports on the following:

* Bears Ears, Grand Staircase in monuments crosshairs?

NOTE: This bulletin is a supplement to your regular edition of Public Lands News. It is NOT your regular issue. The next issue will be published September 8.

The Editors

Zinke taking aim at Utah monuments; would it be legal?

Although Secretary of Interior Ryan Zinke did not identify the handful of national monuments he recommended August 24 that President Trump alter, the reactions of key senators and press reports pretty much narrow it down.

Supposedly on the chopping block are significant portions of the Bears Ears National Monument in Utah, the Grand Staircase-Escalante National Monument in Utah, Cascade-Siskiyou National Monument in Oregon, Rio Grande del Norte National Monument in New Mexico and Organ Mountains-Desert Peaks National Monument in New Mexico.

Spared for the most part is the Katahdin Woods and Waters National Monument in Maine. It is managed by the National Park Service.

Perhaps as important as boundary changes are Zinke's possible recommendations to expand land uses within monuments, such as grazing, hard rock mining, oil and gas drilling, timber harvesting.

In preparing his recommendations Zinke told the *Associated Press* that he did not recommend to President Trump the complete revocation of any of the 27 monuments he reviewed. The secretary posted a summary of a report he submitted to President Trump but that summary did not identify which monuments Zinke would shrink and by how many acres. Or what land uses he would expand.

In not proposing the outright revocation of any monuments Zinke may have taken away his critics' strongest legal argument against the review, assuming President Trump follows his lead. That is, no President has ever rescinded a national monument under the Antiquities Act of 1906.

Nevertheless, environmentalists such as the Earthjustice environmental law firm indicated that they would file a lawsuit if President Trump attempted to reduce the size of any monument.

As a preliminary step Earthjustice filed a Freedom of Information Act demand with the Interior Department August 25 seeking all department communications on Zinke's review.

"As Secretary Zinke is keeping the American people in the dark about his proposal to strip national monument protections from millions acres of iconic wilderness and priceless cultural sites, we are turning to the Freedom of Information Act to compel him to do so," said Heidi McIntosh, Earthjustice's managing attorney in the Rocky Mountain region.

Despite the lack of detail in Zinke's public postings, the reaction of senators who have learned of the department's intentions suggest that President Trump will make major changes to Bears Ears, Grand Staircase, Cascade-Siskiyou, Rio Grande del Norte and Organ Mountains-Desert Peaks.

Zinke's review was met with applause by the all-Republican Utah Congressional delegation, which has been championing for either a reduction in size of Bears Ears or a revocation of the Dec. 28, 2016, designation of the 1.35 million-acre monument by President Obama. And they have campaigned for a reduction in size of the 1.9 million-acres Grand Staircase Monument designated by President Clinton in 1996.

Said Sen. Orrin Hatch (R-Utah), "While Utah's national monuments are a prime example of Antiquities Act abuse, President Trump and Secretary Zinke are working to correct those past abuses and focus on the original meaning and intent of the law."

From the other side of the political fence Sen. Ron Wyden (D-Ore.) anticipates either a reduction in size of the 103,000-acre Cascade Siskiyou National Monument in Oregon or an expansion of consumptive land uses there, or both.

President Clinton designated an original 53,000-acre Cascade-Siskiyou monument in 2000 and President Obama expanded it by 50,000 acres January 12, for a total of 103,000 acres.

"This after-the-fact scheme to gouge away at the Cascade-Siskiyou monument insults the Oregon Way and the many Oregonians who spoke up in favor of protecting this pristine place for generations to come," said Wyden.

Sen. Tom Udall (D-N.M.) said he was disappointed in Zinke's recommendations on Rio Grande del Norte (242,000 acres and designated by President Obama in 2013) and Organ Mountains-Desert Peaks (496,000 acres and designated by Obama in 2014).

"New Mexicans have spoken loudly and clearly," said Udall. "They do not want to shrink our national monuments - and they deserve to know what the administration plans to do with the natural treasures they have worked for years to protect."

Zinke is expected to largely leave in place an 87,500-acre Katahdin Woods and Waters National Monument in Maine, despite the recommendation of Gov. Paul LePage (R-Me.) that it be revoked.

That expectation comes in part from a statement of monument supporter Rep. Chellie Pingree (R-Me.), who said she liked Zinke's review. She was quoted in the *Bangor Daily News* as saying she favored the secretary's "deliberative study of the monument, his recommendation to protect it."

Environmentalists made explicit their intention to file a lawsuit if President Trump acted on any of Zinke's recommendations. Said Drew Caputo, Earthjustice's vice president of litigation for lands, wildlife and oceans, "The law is clear: only Congress has the authority to change a national monument designation under the Antiquities Act - not the president. If President Trump attempts to carry out any recommendations to gut or shrink our national monuments, we will see him in court."

Ranking Senate Energy Committee Democrat Maria Cantwell (Wash.) agreed, "As I've said for months, the President does not have the legal authority to overturn these protections."

Before Zinke submitted his report to the White House, 16 western Republican Congressmen threw down an opposite gauntlet in the form of a House Western Caucus position paper. It <u>recommended</u> the complete rescission of ten monument designations and the modification of 13 more. The caucus would not change the boundaries of four monuments.

Among the 16 monuments the Republicans would do away with are Bears Ears, Grand Staircase, Cascades-Siskiyou and Katahdin. Not on their list for outright elimination are Rio Grande del Norte and Organ Mountains-Desert Peaks.

During his review Zinke said the following six monuments would not be touched: Craters of the Moon National Monument and Preserve in Idaho, Hanford Reach National Monument in Washington, Canyons of the Ancients National Monument in Colorado, Upper Missouri River Breaks National Monument in Montana, Grand Canyon-Parashant National Monument in Arizona, and Sand to Snow National Monument in California.

Zinke's report is available at: https://www.doi.gov/sites/doi.gov/files/uploads/so3353 memo coverletter report 080717.pdf.

The Earthjustice Freedom of Information request is available at: http://earthjustice.org/sites/default/files/files/FOIA-for-Zinke-Recommendations.pdf.

The legal debate: Contrasting reports have been posted in the last year on the legality of a President's authority to unilaterally revoke or revise a national monument designation.

A 1938 U.S. Attorney General opinion and a Congressional Research Service report of last fall doubt Trump enjoys such authority. But an American Enterprise Institute (AEI) report published this spring argues that he does.

The Antiquities Act of 1906 is fairly simple. The crucial provision says, "That the President of the United States is hereby authorized, in his discretion, to declare by public proclamation historic landmarks, historic and prehistoric structures, and other objects of historic or scientific interest that are situated upon the lands owned or controlled by the Government of the United States to be national monuments, and may reserve as a part thereof parcels of land, the limits of which in all cases shall be confined to the smallest area compatible with the proper care and management of the objects to be protected. . ."

In 1938 Attorney General Homer Cummings, asked by the President Franklin Roosevelt administration about the legality of abolishing a Castle-Pinckney National Monument in South Carolina, said the President had no such authority. Congress later abolished the monument with legislation.

Argued Cummings of the Antiquities Act, "The statute does not in terms authorize the President to abolish national monuments, and no other statute containing such authority has been suggested. If the President has such authority, therefore, it exists by implication." He added that no other implied authority existed.

The Congressional Research Service, keying on Cummings opinion, said, "No President has ever abolished or revoked a national monument proclamation, so the existence or scope of any such authority has not been tested in courts. However, some legal analyses since at least the 1930s have concluded that the Antiquities Act, by its terms, does not authorize the President to repeal proclamations, and that the President also lacks implied authority to do so."

But in late March the influential American Enterprise Institute (AEI) published its report that argues Trump has unlimited authority to dedesignate national monuments.

Researchers John Yoo and Todd Gaziano argue that other legal precedent does allow Trump to reverse such national monument designations. Referring to the 1938 decision of Cummings, Yoo and Gaziano say, "We think this opinion is poorly reasoned; misconstrued a prior opinion, which came to the opposite result; and is inconsistent with constitutional, statutory, and case law governing the president's exercise of analogous grants of power. Based on a more careful legal analysis, we believe that a general discretionary revocation power exists."

They add, "We believe a president's discretion to change monument boundaries is without limit, but even if that is not so, his power to significantly change monument boundaries is at its height if the original designation was unreasonably large under the facts as they existed then or based on changed circumstances."

The Congressional Research Service report is available at: http://www.law.indiana.edu/publicland/files/national monuments modifications CRS.pdf.

The American Enterprise Institute report is available at: http://www.aei.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/03/Presidential-Authority-to-Revoke-or-Reduce-National-Monument-Designations.pdf.

Public Lands News is published by Resources Publishing Co., P.O. Box 41320, Arlington, VA 22204. EIN 52-1363538. Phone (703) 553-0552.

FAX (703) 553-0558. E-mail james@resourcespublishing.com. Website: http://www.plnfpr.com.

Public Lands News

Bulletin #15: September 1, 2017

Dear Subscriber:

This bulletin from Public Lands News newsletter reports on the following:

* Bears Ears, Grand Staircase in monuments crosshairs?

NOTE: This bulletin is a supplement to your regular edition of Public Lands News. It is NOT your regular issue. The next issue will be published September 8. The Editors

Zinke taking aim at Utah monuments; would it be legal?

Although Secretary of Interior Ryan Zinke did not identify the handful of national monuments he recommended August 24 that President Trump alter, the reactions of key senators and press reports pretty much narrow it down.

Supposedly on the chopping block are significant portions of the Bears Ears National Monument in Utah, the Grand Staircase-Escalante National Monument in Utah, Cascade-Siskiyou National Monument in Oregon, Rio Grande del Norte National Monument in New Mexico and Organ Mountains-Desert Peaks National Monument in New Mexico.

Spared for the most part is the Katahdin Woods and Waters National Monument in Maine. It is managed by the National Park Service.

Perhaps as important as boundary changes are Zinke's possible recommendations to expand land uses within monuments, such as grazing, hard rock mining, oil and gas drilling, timber harvesting.

In preparing his recommendations Zinke told the Associated Press that he did not recommend to President Trump the complete revocation of any of the 27 monuments he

reviewed. The secretary posted a summary of a report he submitted to President Trump but that summary did not identify which monuments Zinke would shrink and by how many acres. Or what land uses he would expand.

In not proposing the outright revocation of any monuments Zinke may have taken away his critics' strongest legal argument against the review, assuming President Trump follows his lead. That is, no President has ever rescinded a national monument under the Antiquities Act of 1906.

Nevertheless, environmentalists such as the Earthjustice environmental law firm indicated that they would file a lawsuit if President Trump attempted to reduce the size of any monument.

As a preliminary step Earthjustice filed a Freedom of Information Act demand with the Interior Department August 25 seeking all department communications on Zinke's review.

"As Secretary Zinke is keeping the American people in the dark about his proposal to strip national monument protections from millions acres of iconic wilderness and priceless cultural sites, we are turning to the Freedom of Information Act to compel him to do so," said Heidi McIntosh, Earthjustice's managing attorney in the Rocky Mountain region.

Despite the lack of detail in Zinke's public postings, the reaction of senators who have learned of the department's intentions suggest that President Trump will make major changes to Bears Ears, Grand Staircase, Cascade-Siskiyou, Rio Grande del Norte and Organ Mountains-Desert Peaks.

Zinke's review was met with applause by the all-Republican Utah Congressional delegation, which has been championing for either a reduction in size of Bears Ears or a revocation of the Dec. 28, 2016, designation of the 1.35 million-acre monument by President Obama. And they have campaigned for a reduction in size of the 1.9 million-acres Grand Staircase Monument designated by President Clinton in 1996.

Said Sen. Orrin Hatch (R-Utah), "While Utah's national monuments are a prime example of Antiquities Act abuse, President Trump and Secretary Zinke are working to correct those past abuses and focus on the original meaning and intent of the law."

From the other side of the political fence Sen. Ron Wyden (D-Ore.) anticipates either a reduction in size of the 103,000-acre Cascade Siskiyou National Monument in Oregon or an expansion of consumptive land uses there, or both.

President Clinton designated an original 53,000-acre Cascade-Siskiyou monument in 2000 and President Obama expanded it by 50,000 acres January 12, for a total of 103,000 acres.

"This after-the-fact scheme to gouge away at the Cascade-Siskiyou monument

insults the Oregon Way and the many Oregonians who spoke up in favor of protecting this pristine place for generations to come," said Wyden.

Sen. Tom Udall (D-N.M.) said he was disappointed in Zinke's recommendations on Rio Grande del Norte (242,000 acres and designated by President Obama in 2013) and Organ Mountains-Desert Peaks (496,000 acres and designated by Obama in 2014).

"New Mexicans have spoken loudly and clearly," said Udall. "They do not want to shrink our national monuments - and they deserve to know what the administration plans to do with the natural treasures they have worked for years to protect."

Zinke is expected to largely leave in place an 87,500-acre Katahdin Woods and Waters National Monument in Maine, despite the recommendation of Gov. Paul LePage (R-Me.) that it be revoked.

That expectation comes in part from a statement of monument supporter Rep. Chellie Pingree (R-Me.), who said she liked Zinke's review. She was quoted in the *Bangor Daily News* as saying she favored the secretary's "deliberative study of the monument, his recommendation to protect it."

Environmentalists made explicit their intention to file a lawsuit if President Trump acted on any of Zinke's recommendations. Said Drew Caputo, Earthjustice's vice president of litigation for lands, wildlife and oceans, "The law is clear: only Congress has the authority to change a national monument designation under the Antiquities Act - not the president. If President Trump attempts to carry out any recommendations to gut or shrink our national monuments, we will see him in court."

Ranking Senate Energy Committee Democrat Maria Cantwell (Wash.) agreed, "As I've said for months, the President does not have the legal authority to overturn these protections."

Before Zinke submitted his report to the White House, 16 western Republican Congressmen threw down an opposite gauntlet in the form of a House Western Caucus position paper. It <u>recommended</u> the complete rescission of ten monument designations and the modification of 13 more. The caucus would not change the boundaries of four monuments.

Among the 16 monuments the Republicans would do away with are Bears Ears, Grand Staircase, Cascades-Siskiyou and Katahdin. Not on their list for outright elimination are Rio Grande del Norte and Organ Mountains-Desert Peaks.

During his review Zinke said the following six monuments would not be touched: Craters of the Moon National Monument and Preserve in Idaho, Hanford Reach National Monument in Washington, Canyons of the Ancients National Monument in Colorado, Upper Missouri River Breaks National Monument in Montana, Grand Canyon-Parashant National Monument in Arizona, and Sand to Snow National Monument in California.

Zinke's report is available at:

https://www.doi.gov/sites/doi.gov/files/uploads/so3353_memo_coverletter_report_080717.pdf.

The Earthjustice Freedom of Information request is available at: http://earthjustice.org/sites/default/files/files/FOIA-for-Zinke-Recommendations.pdf.

The legal debate: Contrasting reports have been posted in the last year on the legality of a President's authority to unilaterally revoke or revise a national monument designation.

A 1938 U.S. Attorney General opinion and a Congressional Research Service report of last fall doubt Trump enjoys such authority. But an American Enterprise Institute (AEI) report published this spring argues that he does.

The Antiquities Act of 1906 is fairly simple. The crucial provision says, "That the President of the United States is hereby authorized, in his discretion, to declare by public proclamation historic landmarks, historic and prehistoric structures, and other objects of historic or scientific interest that are situated upon the lands owned or controlled by the Government of the United States to be national monuments, and may reserve as a part thereof parcels of land, the limits of which in all cases shall be confined to the smallest area compatible with the proper care and management of the objects to be protected. . "

In 1938 Attorney General Homer Cummings, asked by the President Franklin Roosevelt administration about the legality of abolishing a Castle-Pinckney National Monument in South Carolina, said the President had no such authority. Congress later abolished the monument with legislation.

Argued Cummings of the Antiquities Act, "The statute does not in terms authorize the President to abolish national monuments, and no other statute containing such authority has been suggested. If the President has such authority, therefore, it exists by implication." He added that no other implied authority existed.

The Congressional Research Service, keying on Cummings opinion, said, "No President has ever abolished or revoked a national monument proclamation, so the existence or scope of any such authority has not been tested in courts. However, some legal analyses since at least the 1930s have concluded that the Antiquities Act, by its terms, does not authorize the President to repeal proclamations, and that the President also lacks implied authority to do so."

But in late March the influential American Enterprise Institute (AEI) published its report that argues Trump has unlimited authority to de-designate national monuments.

Researchers John Yoo and Todd Gaziano argue that other legal precedent does allow Trump to reverse such national monument designations. Referring to the 1938 decision of Cummings, Yoo and Gaziano say, "We think this opinion is poorly reasoned;

misconstrued a prior opinion, which came to the opposite result; and is inconsistent with constitutional, statutory, and case law governing the president's exercise of analogous grants of power. Based on a more careful legal analysis, we believe that a general discretionary revocation power exists."

They add, "We believe a president's discretion to change monument boundaries is without limit, but even if that is not so, his power to significantly change monument boundaries is at its height if the original designation was unreasonably large under the facts as they existed then or based on changed circumstances."

The Congressional Research Service report is available at: http://www.law.indiana. edu/publicland/files/national monuments modifications CRS.pdf.

The American Enterprise Institute report is available at: http://www.aei.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/03/Presidential-Authority-to-Revoke-or-Reduce-National-Monument-Designations.pdf.

Public Lands News is published by Resources Publishing Co., P.O. Box 41320, Arlington, VA 22204. EIN 52-1363538. Phone (703) 553-0552. FAX (703) 553-0558. E-mail james@resourcespublishing.com. Website: http://www.plnfpr.com.